	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/689,621	VAN KAMPEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L Blaine Lankford	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS I herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is so	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>	⁄e
1. This communication is responsive to <u>tel int of 12/8/03</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 2, 4-20 and 37.			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Exami		r (f)	
<ul><li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li></ul>		1 (1).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	in this national stage application from the	
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:		a province of application) since a specific	
5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority reference was included in the first sentence of the specific	/ under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a fication or in an Application Dat	a Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	
(a) The translation of the foreign language provisional			
6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority in the first sentence of the specification or in an Applicat	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/o	r 121 since a specific reference was include	d
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT	of this communication to file a	reply complying with the requirements noted EE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE	t E.
7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be suit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which g	bmitted. Note the attached EXA gives reason(s) why the oath or	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.	
8. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") n  (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsp  1) hereto or 2) to Paper No	erson's Patent Drawing Review		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the proposed drawin	g correction filed, which	n has been approved by the Examiner.	
(c) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examin	er's Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of Paper No	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CF each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such	R 1.84(c)) should be written on th in the margin according to 37 CF	ne drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).	
9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the de attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR	posit of BIOLOGICAL MATE R THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGI	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the CAL MATERIAL.	
Attachment(s)			
1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5☐ Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
2 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	•	mmary (PTO-413), Paper No	
3 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No	<sup>3/08),</sup> 7⊠ Examiner's A	mendment/Comment	
4 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	t 8 Examiner's S	Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
of Biological Material	9☐ Other	. Will	
		L Blaine Lankford Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651	

Art Unit: 1651

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Brian Laurenzo on 12/8/03. The authorization included an election as set forth below.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claims 1 & 21-36 have been cancelled as being drawn to a non-elected invention; and

in claims 2, 4, 7, 11-12 & 16-20 the dependency has been amended from "1" to -37 --.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1-2, 3-20, 34-35 & 37, drawn to a method of treating tumors or warts caused by human papilloma virus, classified in class 435, subclass 282.1.
- II. Claims 21-33, drawn to a method of treating respiratory infections, classified in class 424, subclass 94.3.

**Art Unit: 1651** 

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different uses for the same product, i.e. treating unrelated disorders.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: each bacterial species is distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 21 & 34-36 are generic. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1651

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Brian Laurenzo on December 8, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, and the species *P*. acnes as indicated allowable by the examiner. Claims 1, and 21-36 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. No generic claim is allowable so claims are restricted to the elected species.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is 308-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

L/Blaine Lankford Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1651

LBL